

M A T E R I A L S   O N   P R O P E R T Y

PART III

D. Mendes da Costa  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

1974-75

Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto  
Toronto, Ontario.

Store  
KF  
560  
M4  
1974  
pt.3





M A T E R I A L S   O N   P R O P E R T Y

PART III

*check*  
D. Mendes da Costa  
Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto

1974-75

Faculty of Law  
University of Toronto  
Toronto, Ontario.

Digitized by the Internet Archive  
in 2023 with funding from  
University of Toronto



# C O N T E N T S - P A R T I I I

## REAL PROPERTY

### CHAPTER X

#### FUTURE INTERESTS

##### Section 1 INTRODUCTION

✓ Problem	10.1.1.
✓ Casner and Leach, Cases and Text on Property	10.1.2
✓ Simes and Smith, Law of Future Interests	10.1.2
✓ Gulliver, Future Interests	10.1.3

##### Section 2 COMMON LAW METHODS OF CREATING AND TRANSFERRING ESTATES

✓ Gulliver, Future Interests	10.2.1
------------------------------	--------

##### Section 3 REVERSIONS AND REMAINDERS

Megarry and Wade, The Law of Real Property	10.3.1
Megarry and Wade, The Law of Real Property	10.3.2

##### Section 4 CONTINGENT REMAINDERS

Gray, The Rule Against Perpetuities	10.4.1
Casner and Leach, Cases and Text on Property	10.4.1
Gray, The Rule Against Perpetuities	10.4.2
Megarry and Wade, The Law of Real Property	10.4.3
✓ Re Duffield	10.4.4
✓ Re Taylor	10.4.7
Megarry and Wade, The Law of Real Property	10.4.13
Savill Brothers, Ltd. v. Bethel	10.4.13
S. 6 of the Law of Real Property Act	10.4.14
Conveyancing and Law of Property Act	10.4.14
Megarry and Wade, The Law of Real Property	10.4.14
✓ Reeve v. Long	10.4.16
✓ The Conveyancing and Law of Property Act	10.4.16
✓ Festing v. Allen	10.4.16
Megarry and Wade, The Law of Real Property	10.4.18
Laskin, Cases and Notes on Land Law	10.4.18
Conveyancing and Law of Property Act	10.4.18

##### Section 5 ARTIFICIAL DESTRUCTION OF CONTINGENT REMAINDERS

Casner and Leach, Cases and Text on Property	10.5.1
Megarry and Wade, The Law of Real Property	10.5.2
Laskin, Cases and Notes on Land Law	10.5.3
✓ Egerton v. Massey	10.5.3
The Conveyancing and Law of Property Act	10.5.4

##### Section 6 USES BEFORE THE STATUTE OF USES

✓ Krasnowiecki, Ownership and Development of Land	10.6.1
✓ Megarry and Wade, The Law of Real Property	10.6.3
Note	10.6.3
Problems	10.6.4

##### Section 7 THE STATUTE OF USES AND ITS CONSEQUENCES

✓ Simpson, An Introduction to the History of Land Law	10.7.1
✓ Casner and Leach, Cases and Text on Property	10.7.3
Megarry and Wade, The Law of Real Property	10.7.5
The Law and Transfer of Property Act	10.7.6
Conveyancing and Law of Property Act	10.7.7





Bordwell, The Repeal of the Statute of Uses	10.7.8
An Act to Simplify the Transfer of Real Property in Upper Canada	10.7.8
Laskin, Cases and Notes on Land Law	10.7.8
Conveyancing and Law of Property Act	10.7.9
Savill Brothers, Limited v. Bethell	

#### Section 8 THE RULE IN PUREFOY v. ROGERS

Megarry and Wade, The Law of Real Property	10.8.1
Purefoy v. Rogers	10.8.2
Gulliver, Future Interests	10.8.3
Problems	10.8.4
The Contingent Remainders Act	10.8.4
Note	10.8.4

#### Section 9 PRESENT POSITION AS TO TESTATE AND INTESTATE SUCCESSION

Devolution of Estates Act	10.9.1
In Re Robson, Douglass v. Douglass	10.9.1

#### Section 10 PRESENT POSITION AS TO THE SEPARATION OF LEGAL AND EQUITABLE INTERESTS

Casner and Leach, Cases and Text on Property	10.10.1
Problems	10.10.2
Megarry and Wade, The Law of Real Property	10.10.3
Re Smith and Dale	10.10.5

#### Section 11 AN INTRODUCTION TO THE RULE AGAINST PERPETUITIES

##### (a) General Rule

Gulliver, Future Interests	10.11.1
Leach, Perpetuities in a Nutshell	10.11.2
Aldercrest Developments Ltd. v. Hunter et al.	10.11.5
Note	10.11.6

##### (b) Legislation

The Perpetuities Act	10.11.8
Note	10.11.10

##### (c) Some Examples

Fast v. Van Vliet	10.11.11
Re Townsend	10.11.19
Louis J. Harris v. The Minister of National Revenue	10.11.23
Irving Industries (Irving Wire Products Division) Ltd. v. Sadim Oil & Gas Co. Ltd.	10.11.29
Re Seldon	10.11.33
Metropolitan Homes Ltd. v. Politzer	10.11.35

##### (d) The Perpetuity Rule and Its Application to Legal Contingent Remainders

Megarry and Wade, The Law of Real Property	10.11.40
--	----------

#### Section 12 THE RULE IN SHELLEY'S CASE

Laskin, Cases and Notes on Land Law	10.12.1
Tunis and Bamberger v. Passmore	10.12.2
Re McAllister	10.12.4
In Re Estate of Charles Tuck	10.12.6





CHAPTER XICO-OWNERSHIP OF LANDSection 1 FORMS OF CO-OWNERSHIP

Problem	11.1.1
Wright v. Gibbons	11.1.2
Campbell v. Sovereign Securities & Holding Co. Ltd.	11.1.9
Campbell v. Sovereign Securities & Holding Co. Ltd.	11.1.11
Re Demaiter and Link	11.1.12
Registrar-General (N.S.W.) v. Wood	11.1.13
The Conveyancing and Law of Property Act	11.1.15
Megarry and Wade, The Law of Real Property	11.1.15
The Law of Property Act, 1925	11.1.16
Mitchell v. Arblaster	11.1.16
Mendes da Costa, Co-Ownership Under Victorian Land Law	11.1.17
Bull v. Bull	11.1.18
Mendes da Costa, Co-Ownership Under Victorian Land Law	11.1.18

Section 2 CREATION OF CO-OWNERSHIP

Re Dupont	11.2.1
McEwen v. Ewers and Ferguson	11.2.4
Campbell v. Sovereign Securities & Holding Co. Ltd.	11.2.5
Note	11.2.6
Hegeman v. Rogers	11.2.7
Problem	11.2.8

Section 3 USE OF LAND BY CO-TENANTS(a) Relationship Between Co-Owners and Their Rights and Obligations Inter Se

Mendes da Costa, Co-Ownership Under Victorian Land Law	11.3.1
The Judicature Act	11.3.5
Henderson v. Eason	11.3.6
Isaryk v. Isaryk	11.3.7
Curtis v. Coleman	11.3.9
Re Brook and Brook	11.3.11
Luke et al. v. Luke et al.	11.3.12
Scapinello v. Scapinello	11.3.17
Osachuk v. Osachuk	11.3.22
Vol. 2 American Law of Property	11.3.27

(b) Position as to the Law of Waste

The Conveyancing and Law of Property Act	11.3.28
Martyn v. Knowllys	11.3.28

(c) Position where Improvements are Voluntarily Made

Lasby v. Crewson	11.3.29
Mastron v. Cotton	11.3.30
Brickwood v. Young	11.3.32
Ruptash and Lumsden v. Zawick and Zawick	11.3.36

(d) Disposition by One Co-Owner

Mendes da Costa, Co-Ownership Under Victorian Land Law	11.3.40
Crampsey v. Deveney	11.3.41

Section 4 SEVERANCE OF A JOINT TENANCY

Schobelt v. Barber	11.4.1
Re Gore	11.4.5
Rasmanis v. Jurewitsch	11.4.6
Re Mee	11.4.8
Power v. Grace	11.4.11
Sirois v. Breton	11.4.15





In Re Wilks, Child v. Bulmer	11.4.17
Ginn v. Armstrong	11.4.19
Szabo v. Boros et al	11.4.22
Frieze v. Unger	11.4.23
The Land Titles Act	11.4.26
Lyons v. Lyons	11.4.26
Re Foort and Chapman	11.4.35

#### Section 5 CONVEYANCING AND CO-OWNERSHIP

The Conveyancing and Law of Property Act	11.5.1
The Mercantile Law Amendment Act	11.5.1
Re Sherrett and Gray	11.5.2
Rye v. Rye	11.5.4
Re Mancini et al and Saltzman	11.5.8
The Interpretation Act	11.5.9

#### Section 6 PARTITION AND SALE

The Partition Act	11.6.1
Re Hutcheson and Hutcheson	11.6.2
Brown v. Brown	11.6.6
Re Roblin and Roblin	11.6.8
Re Perkins and Perkins	11.6.10
Berger v. Berger	11.6.12
McGeer v. Green and Westminster Mortgage Corp. Ltd.	11.6.15
Cook v. Johnston	11.6.17
Re Cates and Cates	11.6.19

#### Section 7 PROBLEMS FOR REVIEW

### CHAPTER XII

#### THE MATRIMONIAL HOME

Introduction	12.1.1
Problem	12.1.1

#### Section 1 THE RIGHT TO POSSESSION

Re Perkins and Perkins	12.1.2
Beauchamp v. Beauchamp	12.1.4
Re Chliwniak and Chliwniak	12.1.8
Re Hearty and Hearty	12.1.11
Re Maskewycz and Maskewycz	12.1.14
The Matrimonial Homes Act,	

#### Section 2 THE POSITION AS TO OWNERSHIP

Kearney v. Kearney	12.2.1
Stevens v. Brown	12.2.3
Trueman v. Trueman	12.2.8
Wiley v. Wiley	12.2.12
Murdoch v. Murdoch	12.2.16
Beard v. Beard	12.2.29
Morrison v. Guaranty Trust Co. of Canada	12.2.29
Re Taylor and Taylor	12.2.29
Bill 117, An Act to Reform Certain Laws Founded Upon Marital or Family Relationships	12.2.30



